



South Gloucestershire Safeguarding Adults Board



Practice Guidance

Self Neglect

Updated: August 2024
Review Due: August 2026

Acknowledgements

This guidance draws on material, with thanks, from Gloucestershire, Bath & North East Somerset, North Somerset, Wigan and Hampshire Safeguarding Adults Boards and Swan Advocacy.

Contents	Page
Introduction	3
What is self-neglect	4
Hoarding	5
Mental Capacity	7
Assessment	8
Interventions	10
Safeguarding	12

Appendices

Appendix 1 Clutter Image Rating Tool	17
Appendix 2 Professionals/Agencies that may need to be involved	21
Appendix 3 Self-neglect Process Flowchart	22
Appendix 4 Self-neglect Risk Assessment Tool	23
Appendix 5 Useful resources	25
Appendix 6 Learning from practice	26

Introduction

This document provides guidance for dealing with concerns in relation to adults with care and support needs who self-neglect. It should be read alongside the South Gloucestershire [Multi-agency Safeguarding Policy and Procedures](#).

Practitioners and carers should support the prevention of self-neglect and work with individuals to promote selfcare. Self-care can improve physical health, reduce stress and anxiety, boost self-esteem, protect mental health, support better relationships, and support enjoyment of life.

There are various reasons why people self-neglect. Some people may make a conscious decision to live life in a way that may have an impact on their health, well-being or living conditions. Often people can be unwilling to acknowledge there may be a problem and/or be open to receiving support to improve their circumstances. They may have insight into their situation, or they may not; some people may have an underlying condition that impacts on their ability to self-care. Some people may self-neglect because of previous trauma and related issues.

Part of the challenge when there are concerns about self-neglect is knowing when and how far to intervene, in particular if a person makes a capacitated decision not to acknowledge there is a problem or to engage in improving the situation. This is because this usually involves making individual judgments about what is an acceptable way of living, balanced against the degree of risk to an adult and/or others.

Managing the balance between protecting adults from self-neglect against their right to self-determination is a serious challenge for workers. It calls for sensitive and carefully considered decision-making.

Dismissing self-neglect as a "lifestyle" choice is not an acceptable solution in a caring society.

In addition there is the question of whether the adult has the mental capacity to make an informed choice about how they are living and the amount of risk they are exposing themselves to. Assessing mental capacity and trying to understand what lies behind self-neglect is often complex. It is usually best achieved by working with other organisations and, if they exist, extended family and community networks.

Sometimes people who self-neglect can find it difficult to accept support to change which puts themselves and others (such as children and other adults living with or near them) at risk, for example through vermin infestations, poor hygiene, or fire risk from hoarding. However, improvements to health, wellbeing and home conditions can be achieved by spending time building relationships and gaining trust. This may include obtaining treatment for medical or mental health conditions or addictions, or it could be practical help with de-cluttering and deep cleaning someone's home.

What is Self-Neglect

There is no universally accepted definition of self-neglect. The Care Act Statutory Guidance 2022 defines self-neglect as:

“A wide range of behaviour neglecting to care for one's personal hygiene, health or surroundings and includes behaviour such as hoarding”

Models of self-neglect

Research agrees about the main characteristics of self-neglect and the approach practitioners should take when working with people who are felt to be self-neglecting. However, there is less agreement about the reasons why people self-neglect. It is often suggested to be due to a mix of physical, mental, psychological, social and environmental factors.

Possible Indicators of self-neglect

Self-neglect is often defined across three areas:

Lack of self-care including but not limited to:

- neglect of personal hygiene
- dirty/inappropriate clothing
- poor hair care
- malnutrition
- poor hydration
- unmet medical health needs (e.g. refusing to take insulin for diabetes, refusing treatment for leg ulcers)
- eccentric behaviour leading to harm
- alcohol/substance misuse
- social isolation

Lack of care of the environment including but not limited to:

- unsanitary, untidy or dirty conditions which create a hazardous situation that could cause serious physical harm to the person or others
- hoarding
- poor maintenance of property
- keeping lots of pets who are poorly cared for
- vermin
- lack of heating, running water or sanitation
- poor financial management leading to utilities being cut off

Refusal of services that could reduce the risk of harm including but not limited to:

- refusing prescribed medications
- high level of non-attendance or avoidance of health related appointments, including dental treatment
- declining community health care/support
- refusing help with personal hygiene from social/health care personnel
- refusing to allow other professionals interested in keeping the environment safe access to the property for appropriate maintenance (e.g. water, gas, electricity)

It is important to understand that a poor environment and/or personal hygiene may not necessarily be as a result of self-neglect. It could be the result of cognitive impairment, poor eyesight, functional or financial constraints. In addition, many people who self-neglect may lack the ability and/or confidence to come forward to ask for help, and may also lack others who can advocate or speak for them.

Characteristics identified by people deemed to self-neglect

Research has identified the following:

- Fear of losing control
- Pride in self sufficiency
- Sense of connectedness to the places and things in their surroundings
- Mistrust of professionals / people in authority
- Misuse of drugs and/or alcohol

Hoarding

The World Health Organisation says that hoarding is characterised by an 'accumulation of possessions due to excessive acquisition of – or difficulty discarding – possessions, regardless of their actual value'. Hoarding disorder is a persistent difficulty in discarding or parting with possessions. A person with a hoarding disorder may experience distress at the thought of getting rid of the items or simply be unable, either physically or through other health related factors, to get rid of items despite an acknowledgment that changes need to be made.

Anything can be hoarded, including animals, in many different areas including the property, garden or communal areas. In certain circumstances additional storage may have been acquired such as rented garages, storage units, friends' sheds etc

There are typically three types of hoarding:

- Compulsive / Generalist hoarding: Clinical compulsive hoarding - This is the most common. It could consist of one type of object or collection of a mixture of objects, such as old clothes, newspapers, food, containers, human waste or papers. This will often manifest from an emotional attachment to inanimate items creating conflict in disposal.
- Bibliomania: Books and written information – such as newspapers, magazines and articles, and to include DVDs and videos, and Data Hoarding. It could present with the storage of data collection equipment such as computers, electronic storage devices or paper. A need to store copies of emails, and other information in an electronic format.
- Animal hoarding: Often accompanied with the inability to provide minimal standards of care. The hoarder is unable to recognise that the animals are at risk because they feel they are saving them. The homes of animal hoarders are often eventually destroyed by the accumulation of animal faeces and infestation by pests.

In addition the following maybe useful in considering the type of hoarding taking place:

- Instrumental saving pattern – ‘What if I or someone else needs it’
- Sentimental saving – ‘It means so much’
- Aesthetic saving – ‘I love it’

General Characteristics of Hoarding

Fear and anxiety: compulsive hoarding may have started as a learnt behaviour or following a significant event such as bereavement. The person who is hoarding can experience comfort in buying or saving things which may relieve the anxiety and fear they feel. Any attempt to discard the hoarded items can induce feelings varying from mild anxiety to a panic attack with sweats and palpitations.

Long-term behaviour pattern: possibly developed over many years where comfort is attained by buying, and a value attributed to the item through the process of purchasing, then experiencing anxiety at the idea of merely throwing away such item.

Excessive attachment to possessions: people who hoard may hold an inappropriate emotional attachment to items.

Indecisiveness: people who hoard may struggle with the decision to discard items that are no longer necessary, including rubbish. This can cause a distraction from the real issue by deflecting and raising other concerns eg pest control.

Unrelenting standards: people who hoard will often find faults with others, require others to perform to excellence while struggling to organise themselves and complete daily living tasks.

Socially isolated: people who hoard will typically alienate family and friends and may be embarrassed to have visitors. They may refuse home visits from professionals and not engage well with any agency due to feelings of shame.

Large number of pets: people who hoard may have a large number of animals that can be a source of complaints by neighbours due to insanitary conditions that the large number of animals creates.

Churning: hoarding behaviour can involve moving items from one part of the property to another, without ever discarding them.

Self-care: a person who hoards may appear unkempt and dishevelled, due to lack of bathroom or washing facilities in their home. However, some people who hoard will use public facilities in order to maintain their personal hygiene and appearance.

A person who hoards may see nothing wrong with their behaviour and have little insight on the impact it has on them and others.

Hoarding can be a fire hazard and many occupants are at greater risk of death or serious injury from fires in these homes. Often, blocked exits prevent escape from

the home. In addition, many people who are hoarding are injured when they trip over things or when materials fall on them. Responding firefighters can be put at risk due to obstructed exits, falling objects, and excessive fire loading that can lead to structural collapse. Hoarding makes fighting fires and searching for occupants far more difficult. Also, those living adjacent to a hoarder can be quickly affected when a fire occurs, due to increased smoke and fire conditions.

Hoarding is a complex condition and a variety of agencies will come into contact with the same person. It is also recognised that individuals that have recognised hoarding behaviours will receive support from agencies in line with their qualifying criteria. Any professional working with individuals who may have, or appear to have, hoarding behaviours should ensure they complete an assessment of the situation and use the Clutter Image Rating in Appendix 1. Evidence of animal hoarding at any level should be reported to the RSPCA as well as other relevant agencies

What to do:

- Gather as much information as is reasonable to support your understanding of the case.
- Don't judge the person focus on the situation.
- Rational arguments may not help such as challenging the person to find a specific object.
- Use Motivational Interview tools such as 'rolling with resistance' allowing the person to express how they feel.
- Try to empathise and see the situation through the person's eyes.
- Be congruent, honest about your position and part to play in what may now happen and the goals you need to achieve, time scales and consequences.
- Find out if there are people to help, such as friends/family.
- Know what help is available – go with the knowledge of who can support the situation

Mental Capacity

Mental capacity is a key factor in the ways in which professionals understand self-neglect and how they respond in practice. See the [Mental Capacity Act Code of Practice](#) for more information about this.

Assessing mental capacity in connection to self-neglect

When assessing capacity in relation to self-neglect, the question to take into account is whether the adult has capacity to access help for their self-neglect. The assessment should consider:

- Does the adult understand they are placing themselves at risk due to self-neglect?
- Is the adult able to weigh up the alternative options? E.g. being able to move around their accommodation unhindered, being able to sleep in their bed, cook or use their kitchen, etc. This should also include weighing up the risk of

not accepting support – e.g. the situation could worsen and lead to a deterioration in health, hospital admission, etc

- Can the adult retain the information given to them?
- Can the adult communicate their decision?

Consider if the person's self-neglect is linked to substance misuse and how this might impact on their decision making.

It is important to take into account the specific nature of the risk being assessed, and that the relevant specialist professional carries out the mental capacity assessment. For instance, if the risk relates to the impact of the person not taking their medication, then the assessment should be completed by a medical clinician.

Mental capacity assessments should also take into account executive capacity. This has been described as “the ability to think, act, and solve problems, including the functions of the brain which help us learn new information, remember and retrieve the information we’ve learned in the past, and use this information to solve problems of everyday life”. The absence of such capacity is where a person gives what appears to be coherent answers to questions, but it is clear from their actions that they are unable to put into effect the intentions expressed in those answers. In other words the person is able to ‘talk the talk’, but not able to ‘walk the walk’.

It is essential that any capacity assessment is clearly documented on case records.

Assessment

Self-neglect is a complex issue. It is important to understand the person's unique circumstances and their perception of their situation as part of any assessment and intervention. Professional curiosity is key to understanding the situation and further guidance can be found [here](#)

It is crucial to consider how to engage the person at the beginning of the assessment. If an appointment letter is being sent careful consideration should be given to what it says. The usual standard appointment letter is unlikely to be the beginning of a lasting trusting professional relationship if it is viewed as being impersonal and authoritative. If it is not possible to arrange to visit the person then practitioners should consider an unannounced visit to their home.

Home visits are important and practitioners should not solely rely on reports from other people. The practitioner will need to use their professional skills to be invited into the person's house and observe for themselves the conditions of the person and their home environment. Practitioners should discuss with the person any causes for concern about their health and wellbeing and obtain the person's views and understanding of their situation and the concerns of others. The assessment should include the person's understanding of the cumulative impact of a series of small decisions and actions as well as the overall impact.

It is important that when undertaking the assessment the practitioner does not accept the first, and potentially superficial, response rather than exploring more

deeply how a person understands and could act on their situation. This may require more than one visit.

Sensitive and comprehensive assessment is important for identifying capabilities and risks. It is important to look further and tease out the possible significance of personal values, past traumas and social networks. Practitioners should work in a trauma informed way and consider if the person has always lived in this way, but not previously come to the attention of others, or whether a particular event has contributed to a change in the person's living circumstances. This may make it more difficult to facilitate change because the situation could be of many years standing.

In cases of hoarding the practitioner should use the Clutter Image Rating Tool (Appendix 1) to assess the level that the hoarding has reached and determine the next course of action. It could also be useful to use this tool on a regular basis if working with a person over a prolonged period of time in order to be able to measure the level of change in their living situation.

It is important to collect and share information with a variety of sources, including other agencies, to complete a picture of the extent and impact of the self-neglect and to work together to support the individual and assist them to reduce the impact on their wellbeing and on others. When working with people who have drug and alcohol misuse and are self-neglecting seek advice from DHI.

In complex cases and where there are significant risks, including risks to others such as children or other adults at risk, or lack of engagement, consideration should be given to convening a multi-disciplinary and multi-agency meeting to share information and agree an approach to minimising the impact of specific risks and improving the person's wellbeing. Multi-agency meetings are good practice, as part of care and support planning under section 9 of the Care Act. Wherever possible the person themselves should be included in the meeting along with significant others and an independent advocate where appropriate.

It is important to undertake a risk appraisal which takes into account individuals' preferences, histories, circumstances and life-styles to achieve a proportionate and reasonable tolerance of acceptable risks.

The case should **not** be closed simply because the person refuses an assessment or to accept a plan to minimise the risks associated with the specific behaviour(s) causing concern.

Section 11 of the Care Act 2014 states that where a person refuses a care and support needs assessment the local authority must still carry out that assessment if the person lacks capacity to refuse the assessment and the authority is satisfied that carrying out the assessment would be in the adult's best interests, or if the person is experiencing, or is at risk of, abuse or neglect (including self-neglect)

Local authorities must make enquiries, or cause others to do so, if they reasonably suspect an adult who meets the Section 42(1) criteria is, or is at risk of, being abused or neglected. Safeguarding needs to recognise that the right to safety needs to be balanced with other rights, such as rights to liberty and autonomy, and rights to family life.

Interventions

The starting point for all interventions should be to encourage the person to do things for themselves. This approach should be revisited regularly throughout the period of the intervention. All efforts by practitioners and the responses of the person to this approach should be recorded fully.

Efforts should be made to build and maintain supportive relationships so that services can be negotiated over time. This involves a person-centred approach that listens to the person's views of their circumstances and seeks informed consent where possible before any intervention.

It is important to note that a gradual approach to gaining improvements in a person's health, wellbeing and home conditions is more likely to be successful than an attempt to achieve considerable change all of a sudden. Research has shown that those who self-neglect may be deeply upset and even traumatised by interventions such as 'blitzing' or 'deep cleaning'. This approach does not address the longer-term issues to promote lasting change.

A strength's based approach and use of services such as Reablement can be effective methods of engaging people with support. Strength-based practice emphasizes people's self-determination and strengths. It is a way of viewing people as resourceful and resilient in the face of adversity and focuses on future outcomes and strengths that people bring to a problem or crisis.

Often concerns around self-neglect are best approached by different services pulling together to find solutions. Co-ordinated actions by housing officers, mental health services, GPs and District Nurses, social work teams, the police and other public services, and family members have led to improved outcomes for individuals.

Research supports the value of interventions to support routine daily living tasks. However cleaning interventions alone, where home conditions are of concern, do not emerge as effective in the longer term. They should therefore take place as part of an integrated, multi-agency plan.

As self-neglect is often linked to disability and poor physical functioning, often a key area for intervention is assistance with activities of daily living, from preparing and eating food to using toilet facilities. The range of interventions can include adult occupational therapy, domiciliary care, housing and environmental health services and welfare benefit advice.

It may be that a person is unable to care for themselves or maintain their home due to low income. There are a number of services that can support them to check that they are receiving their correct benefits and claim any that they are entitled to.

[Check what benefits you can get - Citizens Advice](#)

[Visit us at a One Stop Shop | BETA - South Gloucestershire Council \(southglos.gov.uk\)](#)

Where agencies are unable to engage the person and obtain their agreement to implement services to reduce or remove risks arising from the self-neglect, the reasons for this should be fully recorded on the person's case record, along with a full record of the efforts and actions taken by the agencies to assist the person.

The person, carer or advocate should be fully informed of the services offered and the reasons why the services were not implemented. There is a need to make clear that the person can make contact at any time in the future for services. However, where the risks are high, arrangements should also be made for ongoing monitoring and, where appropriate, making proactive contact to ensure that the person's needs, risks and rights are fully considered and any changes in circumstances are monitored.

In cases of animal collecting, the practitioner will need to consider the impact of this behaviour carefully. Where there is a serious impact on either the adult's health and wellbeing, the animals' welfare, or the health and safety of others, the practitioner should collaborate with the RSPCA and public health officials. Although animal collecting may be attributable to many reasons, including compensation for a lack of human companionship and the company the animals may provide, consideration has to be given to the welfare of the animals and potential public health hazards.

Where the conditions of the home are such that they appear to pose a serious risk to the health of the adult or other people (including children) living with them, or their living conditions are becoming a risk or a nuisance to neighbours/affecting their enjoyment of their property, advice should be sought from Environmental Health and joint working should take place. Consideration also needs to be given to the ownership of the property. Landlords, including social landlords, need to be informed about the condition of the property so that they can take any action that is required of them and work jointly with the person and other support agencies.

If, as a result of hoarding the practitioner thinks there may be a risk of fire they should seek advice from the local Fire Service. While a person's consent to involve the Fire Service should always be sought, it may be necessary to override their wishes if they are at risk of serious injury or death should a fire occur. Properties with large amounts of hoarded items also present a risk to neighbours and any fire fighters called to attend an incident. Experience has shown that people may be more willing to allow Fire Service workers into their property than other professionals.

There will be times when the impact of the self-neglect on the person's health and well-being or their home conditions, or their neighbours' environmental conditions are of such serious concern that practitioners may need to consider what legislative action can be taken to improve the situation when persuasion and efforts of engagement have failed. Such considerations should be taken as a result of a multi-disciplinary, multi-agency intervention plan with appropriate legal advice.

It may become necessary to seek legal advice regarding applicable enforcement action. Any such consideration will need a robust chronology evidencing extensive multi agency working that has been undertaken in an attempt to minimise the risk.

Legislation that may be useful to consider in cases of self-neglect includes:

- Article 8 of the Human Rights Act 1998: gives people the right to respect for private and family life. However, this is not an absolute right and there may be justification to override it, for example, protection of health, prevention of crime, protection of the rights and freedoms of others.
- Mental Health Act 2007 s.135: if a person is believed to have a mental disorder and they are living alone and unable to care for themselves, a magistrate's court can authorise entry to remove them to a place of safety.
- Mental Capacity Act (2005) s.16(2)(a): The Court of Protection has the power to make an order regarding a decision on behalf of an individual. The court's decision about the welfare of an individual who is self-neglecting may include allowing access to assess capacity.
- Public Health Act (1984) s.31-32: local authority environmental health could use powers to clean and disinfect premises but only for the prevention of infectious diseases.
- The Housing Act 1988: a landlord may have grounds to evict a tenant due to breaches of the tenancy agreement.
- Common law: including the inherent jurisdiction of the High Court, and common law powers of the police to prevent or deal with a breach of the peace. Common law allows for the intervention, without consent, to save life or avoid serious physical harm based upon the principle that the action is reasonable and can be professionally justified as immediately necessary for the purpose of saving life or preventing serious physical harm. Conversely, not to act in such circumstances of the utmost gravity could be deemed negligent

Safeguarding

Where it is determined that there is reasonable cause to suspect that the adult is unable to protect themselves from self-neglect or the risk of it because of their care and support needs, a Safeguarding Enquiry can be triggered. The enquiry process will determine what action is needed in each case.

It is important to note that not all referrals for self-neglect will prompt a section 42 enquiry. The decision should be made on a case by case basis and will depend on a number of factors including the adult's ability to protect themselves by controlling their own behaviour, the involvement of other professionals and whether there is a history of self-neglect. There may come a point when they are no longer able to protect themselves without external support. The starting point should always be the consideration of what support can be provided under section 9 of the Care Act – the assessment of an adult's needs for care and support. If practitioners are not sure whether to make a safeguarding referral for self-neglect they should contact the South Gloucestershire Council Customer Service Desk on 01454 868007 for advice.

Where an adult is engaging with and accepting assessment or services that will meet their care and support needs (including those relating to self-neglect) then they are not demonstrating that they are “unable to protect themselves”. In these circumstances the usual adult assessment and support services will be the most proportionate and least intrusive way of addressing the risk of self-neglect. It is still important however, that the guidance set out above is followed, to ensure that all relevant agencies are aware of and involved in the case, and that information is being shared appropriately and plans are being agreed.

How to protect someone who is declining support

It will always be difficult to fully carry out an assessment where an adult with mental capacity is refusing. Practitioners should thoroughly record all steps that have been taken to carry out a needs assessment, including what steps have been taken to involve the person and any carer, and assessing the person’s desired outcomes for their day to day life. They should also record whether the provision of care and support would contribute to the achievement of these outcomes.

Case recording should demonstrate that all necessary steps have been taken to carry out the assessment and that these were necessary and proportionate. It should also evidence that appropriate information and advice has been provided to the adult, including how to access care and support in the future.

If the adult has refused an assessment or services and remains at high risk of serious harm, consideration should be given to carrying out a Safeguarding enquiry.

Safeguarding Enquiry Objectives

The objectives of statutory Care Act safeguarding enquiries in self-neglect cases are to:

- establish facts and provide a description of the self-neglect;
- ascertain the adult’s views and wishes using the principles of making safeguarding personal and strength based practice
- assess the needs of the adult for protection and support and how those needs might be met;
- protect & support from self-neglect in accordance with the wishes of adult, and in line with their mental capacity to make relevant decisions about their care and support needs;
- promote the wellbeing and safety of the adult through a supportive and empowering process.

Where an adult has died as a result of self-neglect, consideration should be given to whether a [Safeguarding Adult Review](#) should be undertaken by the Safeguarding Adults Board.

Advocacy

At the start of an enquiry process, or at any later stage, the ability of the adult to understand and engage in the enquiry must be assessed and recorded. If the adult

has 'substantial difficulty' in understanding and engaging in the Safeguarding Enquiry, the local authority **must** ensure that there is an appropriate person to help them, and if there isn't, arrange an independent advocate.

The Care Act says local councils must involve people in decisions about their care and support. An advocate can help the person be heard, understand their choices and make their own decisions about their care needs. Advocacy can be available during:

- A care and support needs assessment
- Care and support planning
- Care and support reviews
- A safeguarding enquiry

The advocate can help with:

- Understanding what is happening
- Understanding the choices so the person can make their own decisions
- Telling others what the person wants, their views and feelings
- Making sure the person's rights are acknowledged

What enquiries or assessments will be needed?

Whilst the practitioner is undertaking a Safeguarding 42 enquiry the information gathered will be feeding into a care needs assessment, and/or a positive risk assessment.

Any enquiries or assessments that are made will need to be appropriate and proportionate to the individual circumstances of the case. These should be formulated and agreed between the practitioner and a relevant Line Manager. The enquiry could range from a conversation with the individual to a much more formal multi-agency arrangement.

Any enquiries or assessments made, and actions taken, must be lawful and be proportionate to the level of risk involved, and be clearly documented.

Deciding what action is needed in an adult's case

Where there are concerns of self-neglect, the practitioner should focus on building a relationship with the adult to persuade them to receive assistance to improve their health, wellbeing and living conditions. The aim should be:

- To empower the person who is neglecting him/herself as far as possible to understand the implications of their actions;
- To help the person, both individually and collectively with others (e.g. family, friends, other professionals and agencies) without colluding with the person or seeking to avoid the issues presented;
- To avert the potential need for statutory intervention wherever possible. This may be achieved by providing some form of low level monitoring

Whether or not the adult has capacity to give consent, action may need to be taken if others are or will be put at risk if nothing is done or where it is in the public interest to take action. Wishes need to be balanced alongside wider considerations such as the level of risk or risk to others, including any children who could be affected.

It may be necessary to intervene using statutory powers, for example the conditions in the house warrant intervention by environmental health services or the involvement of the RSPCA. If any agency needs to take such steps, the reasons for doing so should be clearly documented.

It may be necessary to contact specialist support services- including DHI, Mental Health and other health agencies without the consent of the person.

The practitioner should ensure that where the person has capacity to decline intervention after all reasonable efforts have been made to engage them, the person and others involved in the concern know how to easily get back in touch with the Council (or named person). Just because the person has declined support before does not mean they will in the future.

The practitioner should provide feedback to all parties involved in the enquiry and assessment process on the outcome of that process and what actions are to be taken, or not taken, with the reasons why.

Safeguarding Risk Assessment

The safeguarding risk assessment will be informed by multi agency safety planning which may or may not involve formal multi-agency meetings where actions will be set. It should

- be person-centred & outcome focussed;
- be proportionate to the risk involved & be the least restrictive alternative;
- demonstrate multi-agency working and sharing of information;
- have agreed timescales for review & monitoring of any actions set;
- have an agreed lead professional with responsibility to monitor & review the actions.

All involved should be clear about their roles and actions

Where the risks to independence and wellbeing are severe (e.g. risk to life or others) and cannot adequately be managed or monitored through other processes, it will be necessary to have a safeguarding risk assessment to monitor the risk in conjunction with other agencies. In self-neglect cases this would usually involve health service colleagues, but other agencies may well need to retain ongoing oversight and involvement (e.g. environmental health, housing).

If the risks remain high, the meeting should consider reconvening to discuss reviewing the risk assessment.

The case should not be closed just because the adult is refusing to accept the support offered.

Work with adults who self-neglect can take a long time. Updating the risk assessment at intervals can be a useful way of measuring the progress that has been made.

Appendix 1

Clutter Image Rating Tool

The Clutter Image rating Tool will enable the practitioner to assess what level the hoarding problem is at. Anything over a level 4 on the clutter rating tool should require consideration of a safeguarding referral.

Images 1-3 indicate level 1

The Household environment is considered standard. No specialised assistance is needed. If the resident would like some assistance with housework or feels they are declining towards a higher clutter scale, appropriate referrals can be made subject to age and circumstances. Avon Fire & Rescue Service to carry out a Level 1 home Fire Safety Visit and share risk information.

Images 4-6 indicate level 2

The Household environment requires professional assistance to resolve the clutter and the maintenance issues in the property. Avon Fire & Rescue Service to carry out a Level 1/2 Home Fire Safety Visit with bespoke advice based on the risks present, consider assistive technology and share risk information.

Images 7-9 indicate level 3

The Household environment will require intervention with a collaborative multi-agency approach with the involvement from a wide range of professionals. This level of hoarding constitutes a safeguarding alert due to the significant risk to health of the householders, surrounding properties and residents. Avon Fire & Rescue Service to carry out a Level 2/3 Home Fire Safety Visit in a joint agency approach, consider assistive technology and share risk information.

Any agency wishing to utilise the support of Avon Fire & Rescue Service should contact the Vulnerable Adults Referral Advocate on 0117 926 2061

Anyone can request a free home fire safety visit [Request a home fire safety visit - Avon Fire and Rescue Service](#)

The London Fire Brigade have an on-line person-centred fire risk assessment which can be accessed here [Checklist for Person-Centred Fire Risk Assessment \(london-fire.gov.uk\)](#)

Clutter Image Rating: Living Room

Please select the photo below that most accurately reflects the amount of clutter in your room.



1



2



3



4



5



6



7



8



9

Clutter Image Rating: Bedroom

Please select the photo that most accurately reflects the amount of clutter in your room.



1



2



3



4



5



6



7



8



9

Clutter Image Rating: Kitchen

Please select the photo that most accurately reflects the amount of clutter in your room



1



2



3



4



5



6



7



8



9

Appendix 2


Professionals/Agencies that may need to be involved

Different agencies will be able to do different things. Self-Neglect is rarely a single agency issue. There are a number of agencies and departments who may be able to help:

- Adult Social Care
- Health – GP or Community Health Services
- Mental Health Services
- Legal Services
- Care providers
- Community Mental Health Services
- Advocacy
- Voluntary organisations
- Counselling or therapy services
- Anti-social behaviour and Harm Reduction Forum
- Environmental Health
- Housing Association/private landlord
- Falls advisor
- Children's services or child protection
- RSPCA
- Fire Service
- Debt advice service
- Police
- DHI (Developing Health & Independence)

Appendix 3

Self-neglect Process Flowchart



Appendix 4

Self-neglect Risk Assessment Tool

This tool is not compulsory, but practitioners may find it helpful to assist their work with people who self-neglect

Name:	DoB:	ID No:
-------	------	--------

Description of home situation	
Who owns the property? The person, social or private landlord?	
Does anyone else live in the property?	
Engagement with essential activities of daily living (e.g. ability to use the phone / pendant alarm, shopping, food preparation, housekeeping, laundry, mode of transport, responsibility for medication, ability to handle finances).	
Functional and cognitive abilities of the person – include information about mobility levels and physical disability, cognitive issues such as dementia, autism or learning disability	
Family and social support networks (including whether anyone holds Power of Attorney)	
Medical history, to include engagement with professionals, treatments and interventions	
Mental health conditions or substance misuse issues	
Social history - to include any social care services offered / in place	
Environmental assessment, to include any information from family/professionals/others (this should include any environmental health monitoring in place)	
A description of the self-neglect and impact on the person's health and well-being	
A historical perspective of the situation	
The person's own perspective about their situation and needs	
The person's mental capacity in relation to risks identified and how this has been	

assessed (consider the person's 'executive functioning')	
The willingness of the person to accept support	
The views of family members, health and social care professionals and other people in the person's network	
Risk Indicator	Supporting evidence
History of crisis incidents with life threatening consequences	
Risk to others	
High level of multi-agency referrals received	
Non-engagement with agencies	
Risk of domestic violence	
Fluctuating mental capacity, history of safeguarding concerns / exploitation	
Financial hardship, tenancy / home security risk	
Likely fire risk	
Public order issues; anti-social behaviour / hate crime / offences linked to petty crime	
Unpredictable / chronic health conditions. Serious concerns for health and well-being that require an immediate response	
Significant substance misuse	
The individual's network presents high risk factors.	
Environment presents high risks and hazards that could result in injury to self and / or others, a health risk or possible eviction	
History of a chaotic lifestyle	
The individual has little or no choice over vital aspects of their life, environment or financial affairs	
Others	
Assessor's conclusions and recommendations	

Appendix 5

Useful resources

Motivational Interviewing

Motivational Interviewing is a leading approach to increase motivation and commitment to change. It has proven particularly effective with stuck or entrenched people from a wide range of backgrounds.

Drawing on both person centred and directive techniques, it offers practical skills to assist workers to help people articulate and recognise the need for change. It is helpful when people are stuck in problems and not advancing in change. Training is available for South Gloucestershire Adult Social Care staff [here](#)

Appendix 6

Learning from practice

SAR Adult A

In 2020 South Gloucestershire Safeguarding Adults Board carried out a Safeguarding Adults Review (SAR) in relation to Adult A, an adult who had self-neglected and was dependent on alcohol. The link to the learning brief can be found [here](#)

SAR Mrs Y

In 2022 South Gloucestershire Safeguarding Adults Board carried out a Safeguarding Adults Review (SAR) in relation to Mrs Y, an adult who had self-neglected. The link to the learning brief can be found [here](#)

SAR Mr D

Also in 2022 South Gloucestershire Safeguarding Adults Board carried out a Safeguarding Adults Review (SAR) in relation to Mr D, an adult who had self-neglected and died by suicide. The link to the learning brief can be found [here](#)

Case audits

In 2022 South Gloucestershire Safeguarding Adults Board carried out an audit of safeguarding enquiries for people who had self-neglected. The link to the learning brief can be found [here](#)

A further audit was carried out in April 2023. The link to the learning brief can be found [here](#)